Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
ORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TIMOTHY HANNA,

Plaintiff,

v.

J. CHUDY, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 11-cv-00862-JST (PR)

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

Re: Dkt. No. 73

Plaintiff has requested that counsel be appointed to assist him in this action. A district court has the discretion under 28 U.S.C. §1915(e)(1) to designate counsel to represent an indigent civil litigant in exceptional circumstances. See Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986). This requires an evaluation of both the likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. See id. Neither of these factors is dispositive and both must be viewed together before deciding on a request for counsel under § 1915(e)(1). See id. To date, plaintiff has been able to present his claims in an adequate manner and there are no exceptional circumstances warranting appointment of counsel at this time. Accordingly, the request for appointment of counsel is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 28, 2013

